January 26, 2016

The meeting was called to order a 6:30 p.m. by Planning Board Chairman Peter Hogan.
 Present were regular members Mark Suennen, David Litwinovich and Ed Carroll and ex-officio
 Dwight Lovejoy. Also present were Planning Coordinator Shannon Silver and Recording Clerk
 Valerie Diaz.

6 7

Present in the audience for all of part of the meeting were Ray Shea, LLS, David and Candy Woodbury, Rob Starace, John Kanag and Britt Lundgren.

8 9

10 ROBERT STARACE HOMES, LLC

11 <u>Submission of Application/Public Hearing/Major Subdivision/8 Lots</u>

- 12 Location: Joe English & McCurdy Roads
- 13 Tax Map/Lot # 11/112
- 14 Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District
- 15

Present in the audience were Ray Shea, LLS, David and Candy Woodbury, Rob Starace,John Kanag, Britt Lundgren.

18 The Chairman read the public hearing notice. Ray Shea, LLS, indicated that a couple of 19 adjustments had been made since the site walk in an effort to achieve better sight distance for the 20 proposed driveways. He explained that the lot line between proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-1 21 and proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-2 had been angled and moved toward Ridgeview Lane to 22 increase the southerly sight distance. He stated that proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-3 had been 23 moved to the south of proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-2 in order to increase the sight distance. 24 He advised that driveway for proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-6 had been moved away from the 25 existing wetland and wetland buffer in order to address concerns about fill accidentally getting 26 into those areas.

The Chairman noted that the Road Agent had a problem with the initially proposed driveway for proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-2; he noted that he did not believe that the Road Agent had visited the site to see changes that had been made following the site walk. Ray Shea, LLS, explained that the proposed driveway location had moved down the hill.

The Chairman noted that the Road Agent had an issue with the site distance to the east of the proposed driveway for proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-6. Ray Shea, LLS, commented that he was unsure of what the issue would be as there was a ton of sight distance for the proposed driveway.

35 The Chairman advised that the Road Agent had an issue with the driveway sight distance 36 for proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-7. He commented that he agreed with the Road Agent's 37 opinion of the driveways for proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-2 and #11/112-7. He added that the issues with the proposed driveway for proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-2 would most likely 38 39 be resolved with the location change that was presented this evening. He did not believe the 40 proposed driveway for Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-7 could be fixed. Ray Shea, LLS, agreed that the 41 proposed driveway could not be moved. Mark Suennen agreed that the proposed driveway for 42 proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-2 would work better if it was being moved closer to Ridgeview 43 Lane. David Litwinovich agreed that there was an issue with the proposed driveway for pro-

44 posed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-7.

January 26, 2016

1 2

3

ROBERT STARACE HOMES, LLC, cont.

4 Rob Starace asked if it would be possible to pitch the driveway for proposed Tax 5 Map/Lot # 11/112-7 higher than the road in order to gain more sight distance. The Chairman 6 believed that Mr. Starace's idea violated the Town's regulations. Rob Starace asked if a waiver 7 could be granted for this issue. Mark Suennen did not believe that the Board had ever allowed a 8 positive pitch from a driveway to a road. Ray Shea, LLS, pointed out that all driveways in ques-9 tion were flat and therefore, the water would not reach the road. He continued that the driveways 10 would be raised by 3/10ths from the edge of pavement. The Chairman did not believe that the applicant's proposed solution would help alleviate the issues with the proposed driveway for 11 12 proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-7 but believed it could help with the site distance issues for Tax 13 Map/Lot # 11/112-6. Ray Shea, LLS, indicated that he would speak with the Road Agent about 14 the proposed driveways for proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-6 and # 11/112-7.

15 Ray Shea, LLS, advised that he had revised the plan by correcting a couple of abutter 16 name spelling errors, by adding berm details and Note #9. He explained that he had removed the 17 note regarding sprinklers and cisterns as the matter had not been resolved with the Fire Wards. 18 Mark Suennen noted that the applicant had shown the Board a potential cistern location during 19 the site walk and asked for the location to be shown on the plan; Ray Shea, LLS, identified the 20 location on the plan. The Coordinator suggested that the applicant send a letter to the Board of 21 Fire Wards regarding their proposed sprinkler/cistern plan in order for them to discuss the matter 22 during their meeting.

The Chairman asked for comments and questions from the audience. Candy Woodbury of 37 McCurdy Road asked for an explanation of the changes that had been made to proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-2. Ray Shea, LLS, explained that the driveway had been moved further down the hill in order to have better sight distance. He added that the proposed driveway for proposed Tax Map/Lot # 11/112-3 had been moved further away from the existing knoll to create better sight distance. The Chairman noted that the Board had observed traffic during the site walk to determine the sight distance.

Candy Woodbury commented that there was a lot of history and animals in the area and believed that land that could be left open should remain open. Rob Starace pointed out that all of the house locations were 100' from the road and that 24 acres of land was largely untouched.

Mark Suennen stated that he agreed with the applicant's statement that a Fiscal Impact
 Study would not provide any new information to the Board. He added that he was comfortable
 waiving the required study.

Mark Suennen explained that a Traffic Impact Study would not provide the Board with a lot of information about Joe English Road. He stated that Joe English Road was not over capacity and adding 7 new driveways would not put it over capacity. He added that the driveway for McCurdy Road would not put that road over capacity.

Mark Suennen indicated that he was unsure if an Environmental Impact Study should be
waived. Ray Shea, LLS, advised that he had searched the area on the NHB website and he did
not get any hits for animals or plant species. He added that the ISWMPs would address drainage. He indicated that he was not sure what he would do for an Environmental Impact Study.
Mark Suennen questioned if there was value to keeping ["something"] available as it could be

January 26, 2016

1 2

3

26

27

28

29

30

31

ROBERT STARACE HOMES, LLC, cont.

contiguous to an existing conservation area. Ray Shea, LLS, stated that an easement could be
placed over the wetland at the back of the property.

6 The Chairman asked how the potential loss of proposed Tax/Map Lot # 11/112-7 would 7 affect the lot line configurations. Ray Shea, LLS, answered that the lot lines would not need to 8 change. Rob Starace commented that he was not ready to give that lot up. The Chairman asked 9 if hypothetically proposed Tax Map/Lot #11/112-7 would become part of proposed Tax Map/Lot 10 #11/112-6. Ray Shea, LLS, answered yes. He pointed out the area of the lot that contained wetlands and noted that it would not be developed. He continued that it could be possible to place 11 12 an easement across the wetlands to ensure that the area would remain untouched. Mark Suennen 13 clarified that he was not suggesting that the applicant provide an easement as a tradeoff for the 14 sight distance concerns. The Chairman asked if Mark Suennen would be in favor of waiving an Environmental Impact Study if the applicant provided deeded protection. Mark Suennen an-15 16 swered that he needed more time to think about it. Ray Shea, LLS, indicated that the lot lines 17 could be pulled up and an easement could be placed at the back of both lots.

18 Dwight Lovejoy commented that he hunted the property and that he was concerned that 19 a lot of animals moved through the property.

David Litwinovich stated that he agreed that the Fiscal and Traffic Impact Studies could be waived. He stated that he liked the idea of a tradeoff of the applicant providing an easement in lieu of an Environmental Impact Study. He suggested that the Board hear from the PLC on the matter. The Chairman noted that the PLC had received notification about the subdivision as an abutter and had not provided any comment.

- Mark Suennen **MOVED** to waive the required Traffic and Fiscal Impact Studies for Robert Starace Homes, LLC, Location: Joe English and McCurdy Roads, Tax Map/Lot # 11/112, Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District, as the applicant's justification and size of the development was in line with other waivers that the Board had granted and the waivers met the spirit and intent of the Regulations. Ed Carroll seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.
- Ray Shea, LLS, asked if the Board was going to waive the Environmental Impact Study.
 Mark Suennen indicated that he needed more time to think about the waiver.

35 The Chairman asked for comments and/or questions from the audience. Britt Lundgren 36 of 180 Joe English Road commented that she was glad the Board was discussing the wildlife 37 habitat corridors and how the site might function as a wildlife corridor. She advised that she had 38 reviewed the Master Plan and noted that one of the Town's priorities was to protect wildlife cor-39 ridors. She stated that she had been unable to locate a list of the Town's wildlife corridors and 40 believed that an Environmental Impact Study could determine if site was part of an important 41 wildlife habitat corridor. She advised that the property was connected to the Tracking Station 42 conservation land as well as land owned by the PLC. She explained that there was a large area 43 of open space that almost reached the Piscataquog River and was heavily used by wildlife. She 44

January 26, 2016

ROBERT STARACE HOMES, LLC, cont.

2 3

1

4 believed that the proposed lots on McCurdy Road could contribute to fragmentation of the wild-

5 life corridor. The Chairman did not believe the concerns raised by Ms. Lungren

6 would be addressed through an Environmental Impact Study and would more likely be addressed

7 through a Wildlife Impact Study. Britt Lungren disagreed with the Chairman and noted that

8 wildlife was part of

9 the environment. The Chairman stated that the Environmental Impact Study provided infor-

- 10 mation relative to the watershed. Britt Lungren asked if the scope of the Environmental Impact
- 11 Study was defined in the Regulations. The Chairman answered that the Board could define the
- 12 scope to an extent. Britt Lungren suggested that the Environmental Impact Study include a re-

13 quirement that determined whether or not the site was part of a wildlife corridor.

- 14 John Kanag of 8 McCurdy Road asked who identified the wetlands on the plan. Ray,
- 15 Shea, LLS, answered that a Certified Wetland Scientist, (CWS), went on site walked the wet-
- 16 lands, checked the vegetation and checked the soil types. John Kanag asked for the time of year

17 the wetlands were reviewed by the CWS. Ray Shea, LLS, answered that the wetlands had been

18 reviewed during the fall. John Kanag noted that the wetland area was wetter during the spring.

- 19 Mark Suennen explained that the CWS viewed areas to determine whether or not they contained
- 20 characteristics of a wetland in accordance with DES Regulations. John Kanag asked if the CWS
- 21 had considered hydric soils during his review. Ray Shea, LLS, identified the location of the hy-
- dric soils on the plan. John Kanag asked who had hired the CWS. The Chairman answered that
- the applicant hired the CWS. John Kanag asked if there was any concern relative to a conflict of interest. Mark Suennen answered no and explained that it was standard DES practice for appli-
- cants to hire CWS.

Mark Suennen referred to Ms. Lungren's question regarding the definition of an Environmental Impact Study and read the following from the Town's Subdivision Regulations, "The purpose of an Environmental Impact Analysis is to provide the Board with the information needed to evaluate the effects of a proposed project upon the environment. The Board may require the developer to provide an environmental impact analysis when deemed necessary due to such things as the topography, location, or other unique characteristics of the development. The Envi-

32 ronmental Impact Analysis shall consist of the following:

- a) An inventory of existing environmental conditions at the project site including air and
 water quality, water supply, hydrology, geology, soil type, topography, vegetation, wild life, aquatic organisms, ecology, demography, land use, aesthetics, history and archaeol ogy.
- b) A project description and a list of all licenses, permits or other approvals required by law
 or regulation.
- c) The Environmental Impact Analysis shall assess the probable impact of the project on all
 the inventory items and shall include a listing of adverse environmental impacts that cannot be avoided.
- d) The Environmental Impact Analysis shall also include the steps the applicant proposes to take to minimize adverse environmental impacts during construction and operation and whether there are any alternatives to any part of the project."

January 26, 2016

1 2

ROBERT STARACE HOMES, LLC, cont.

- 3 4 David Litwinovich stated that he understood Ms. Lungren's concerns regarding blocking 5 wildlife corridors. He believed that the corridor would be narrowed but not blocked. He com-6 mented that requiring a full blown Environmental Impact Study would be "a little much" but he 7 did want someone more knowledgeable to confirm that the wildlife corridor would not be 8 blocked. The Chairman stated that he was more interested in protecting the wildlife corridor 9 than he was interested in talking about it. He suggested that the lot lines be moved up and pro-10 tect the entire area by keeping the connectivity with the PLC property and the property across the street. Mark Suennen agreed and noted that the applicant was proposing to minimize the impact. 11 12 The Chairman asked for further questions and/or comments; there were no questions or 13 comments. 14 15 Mark Suennen **MOVED** to adjourn the public hearing for Robert Starch Homes, LLC, 16 Location: Joe English and McCurdy Roads, Tax Map/Lot # 11/112, Residential-Agricul-17 tural "R-A" District, to February 9, 2016, at 6:30 p.m. David Litwinovich seconded the 18 motion and it **PASSED** unanimously. 19 20 Continued discussion, re: Master Plan update 21 22 The Chairman stated that he was interested in hearing from the part-time planning con-23 sultant that had recently been hired by the Town as he had expertise with Master Plans. David 24 Litwinovich agreed. 25 26 MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS AND CORRESPONDENCE FOR THE MEETING OF 27 **JANUARY 12, 2016.** 28 29 Distribution of the January 12, 2016, meeting minutes, for approval at the February 9, 1. 30 2016, meeting, with or without changes. (distributed by email.) 31 32 The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion 33 occurred. 34 35 2. Continued discussion, re: email correspondence between Thomas E. Carr, CSS/CWS, 36 Meridian Land Services, Inc., and Craig Rennie, NH Department of Environmental Ser-37 vices, re: AoT permit from Twin Bridge Land Management, LLC, for the Board's infor-38 mation.
- 39

The Coordinator explained that the one of the AoT requirements had been created for the above-referenced subdivision to protect erosion on slopes. She continued that the originally designed bench was no longer needed because the land was not higher than the slope as had been initially anticipated. She advised that there was no additional runoff and as such the applicant had requested a waiver and it was granted. She further advised that the Town Engineer did not

January 26, 2016

MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS AND CORRESPONDENCE FOR THE MEETING OF JANUARY 12, 2016, cont.

4
5 believe that this matter violated any Town Regulations. Mark Suennen did not believe
6 there were any issues if the speed or volume of runoff did not change.

Mark Suennen asked that the Coordinator confirm that the applicant was meeting the requirement of the AoT permit to operate under the five acre maximum exposure; the Coordinator
stated that she would confirm.

10 11

12

18

19

20

24

1

3. Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission meeting update from Mark Suennen.

Mark Suennen advised that the Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission, (SNH PC), was actively seeking a rural community that contained a large amount of the Piscataquog watershed to participate in a culvert modeling study. He advised that the kickoff meeting to discuss the study was scheduled for February 29, 2016, at the Whipple Free Library.

Mark Suennen **MOVED** to adjourn at 7:43 p.m. Ed Carroll seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

212223 Respectfully submitted,

Minutes Approved: 02.09.16

25 Valerie Diaz, Recording Clerk